	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/529,388	CHUN, SUKYOL	JNG
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>
	DANIELLE SULLIVAN	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does it	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the december .	•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper repl	y, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 			
after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire ir	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	der 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
*n a telephone call on 3/13/2009 Jay Williams verifice 9/9/2008. See attached Interview Summary	ed that no response had been ser	nt to the office act	ion mailed
/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616	3/13/09		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to